|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Author:** Matthew Cragg | | **Topic:** The Image Sensor | | |
| **Criteria** | **Exemplary 100%** | **Accomplished 90%** | **Developing 80%** | **Beginning 60%** |
| **Choice of delivery**  **30%** | Publication avenue chosen was extremely suitable for the specific research topic. | Publication avenue chosen was appropriate, but not extremely suitable. | Publication avenue chosen was in the domain area, but not on topic. | Publication avenue not relevant to material. |
| **30/30** | This publication format was perfect to show off both the topic and the results of the research in a short and concise format. | | | |
| **Modification**  **40%** | Submission was taken from paper or presentation format and excellently modified for its publication audience. Edited for copyright/CC/privacy. | Submission was taken from paper or presentation format and well modified for its publication audience. Edited for copyright/CC/privacy. | Submission was taken from paper or presentation format and somewhat modified for its publication audience. Not completely edited for copyright/CC/privacy. | Submission shows little or no modification from original paper or presentation, not edited for copyright/CC/privacy. |
| **38/40** | The content of this publication has been excellently modified from the presentation data to be consumed by its target audience and is arranged in such a manner that entices the reader to continue reading until the last caption. The only reason I am not giving this full marks is a lack of copyright editing. | | | |
| **Writing Quality & Adherence to Format Guidelines**  **30%** | All modifications are error-free and focus the material toward the publication medium. Submission meets all publication guidelines and requirements. | Modifications are mostly error-free and for the most part focus the material toward the publication medium. Submission meets all publication requirements and most guidelines. | Modifications are generally error-free and generally focus the material toward the publication medium. Submission meets most publication requirements and guidelines. | Submission does not generally meet publication requirements or guidelines, and/or modifications introduce significant errors. |
| **30/30** | This publication is well structured, title portion of the image that not only points me at the obvious data below it but also informs me that there is an actual paper from which I could get more data if I wanted it, leads me down to various well-ordered photos that are also well captioned to facilitate my understanding of what I am looking at. I can not find any fault with the quality or format of this publication | | | |
| **98/100** | 🡨TOTAL (sum the above scores) | | | |

Additional Comments:

Note: you can award any # of points up to the max for the category, including fractional points. So, for example, if you think the work was half-way between accomplished and exemplary, you would award 95% of the points in that category. Always explain why you provided the points you provided, even if you award 100% of the points. What made the work exemplary in that category? Reflect on your peer’s work and give the justification you’d like to see if it was your work.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | 100% Exemplary | 95% | 90% Accomplished | 85% | 80% Developing | 75% | 70% | 65% | 60% Beginning |
| 30 | 30 | 28.5 | 27 | 25.5 | 24 | 22.5 | 21 | 19.5 | 18 |
| 40 | 40 | 38 | 36 | 34 | 32 | 30 | 28 | 26 | 24 |